```
1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
 4
    W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
    capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
    OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
    OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
    ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
    in his capacity as the
    TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
    FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
 9
                 Plaintiff,
10
    vs.
                                  )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
11
    TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                 Defendants.
13
14
                      VOLUME II OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
    DEPOSITION OF BERTON FISHER, PhD, produced as a
16
    witness on behalf of the Defendants in the above
17
    styled and numbered cause, taken on the 4th day of
18
    September, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of
19
    Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A.
20
    Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly
21
    certified under and by virtue of the laws of the
22
    State of Oklahoma.
23
24
25
```

```
1
                 (Whereupon, the deposition began at
 2
     9:00 a.m.)
 3
               VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the Record for
     Volume II of the deposition of Berton Fisher. Today
 4
 5
     is September 4th, 2008. The time is 9:00 a.m.
                                                                    09:00AM
 6
     Would counsel please identify themselves for the
 7
     Record.
 8
               MR. GARREN: Richard Garren for the State
9
     of Oklahoma.
10
               MR. GEORGE: Robert George for the Tyson
                                                                    09:00AM
     defendants.
11
               MR. McDANIEL: Scott McDaniel for Peterson
12
13
     Farms, Inc.
               MR. ELROD: John Elrod for Simmons.
15
               MR. BASSETT: Woody Bassett for the
                                                                    09:00AM
16
     George's defendants.
17
               MS. HILL: Theresa Hill for the Cargill
18
     entities.
19
               VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
20
                      BERTON FISHER, PhD,
21
     having first been duly sworn to testify the truth,
22
     the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified
23
     as follows:
24
                CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
     BY MR. GEORGE:
                                                                    09:00AM
```

- 1					
1	in the plant matter and actually transport it and				
2	deposit it as more soluble phosphorus in manure in				
3	or near water courses?				
4	MR. GARREN: Object to form.				
5	Q That's not part of your evaluation?	l1:50AM			
6	A It is not.	·			
7	Q Let's see. Your report, Page 4, you covered				
8	this with Mr. George yesterday. You said the only				
9	contaminants of concern in the Illinois River				
10	watershed are phosphorus and bacteria; correct? 11:51AM				
11	A That's what I said, yes.				
12	Q All right. What is the form of phosphorus				
13	that is the contaminant of concern?				
14	A All forms of phosphorus are going to be the				
15	contaminant of concern because phosphorus undergoes	L1:51AM			
16	numerous reactions with environmental media. So				
17	adding phosphorus in one form today, it can turn				
18	into a form that's taken up by algae tomorrow in a				
19	stream.				
20	Q With the bulk of the water quality data, is	L1:51AM			
21	this most oftenly expressed as total P?				
22	A That's correct.				
23	Q Okay. So when there have been a lot of				
24	discussion in the last two days about phosphorus,				
25	phosphorus, phosphorus. What typically you and	11:51AM			

1	When you and I started talking earlier I thought					
	When you and I started talking earlier, I thought					
2	the Record was pretty clear that the constituents of					
3	concern are phosphorus and bacteria. When you say					
4	constituents in the context of your statement on					
5	Page 50, are you referring to anything else other 02:30PM					
6	than phosphorus and bacteria?					
7	A These would be the entirety of the suite of					
8	chemicals pretty much from poultry litter.					
9	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Are you claiming that there is water in the					
10	Illinois River watershed that is polluted by any 02:30P					
11	constituent other than phosphorus and bacteria?					
12	MR. GARREN: Object to the form.					
13	A Okay. Am I claiming that there are any					
14	constituents of concern other than phosphorus and					
15	bacteria? 02:30PM					
16	Q Yeah.					
17	A No.					
18	Q All right. Opinion 21, you refer to or I'm					
19	going to modify the word, attenuation. What is					
20	attenuation or attenuated mean? 02:31PM					
21	A To attenuate is to diminish. The peculiar					
22	aspect of Karst terrain, which is what this speaks					
23	to, is that materials in Karst, there are very					
24	there can be very large fractures at depth. Those					
25	fractures permit a flow of water much as through a 02:31PM					

1	A I don't know what I'm sorry. I don't know			
2	what to assume, Mr. Elrod. I just can report what I			
3	saw in those fields.			
4	Q Now, you testified twice in the last two days			
5	that the contaminants of concern in this case are 04:54PM			
6	phosphorus and bacteria; correct?			
7	A That's correct.			
8	Q Now, I have to prepare a defense for my client			
9	at the trial of this case. You understand that?			
10	A Yes, I do. 04:54PM			
11	Q Does that mean that I don't have to be			
12	concerned about preparing a defense for metals?			
13	MR. GARREN: Object to form.			
14	A You mean metals as pollutants?			
15	Q Yes, sir. 04:54PM			
16	A That's correct.			
17	Q And does that assume that I do not have to			
18	prepare a defense for my client regarding hormones?			
19	MR. GARREN: Object to the form.			
20	A Well, I've never offered any opinion on 04:54PM			
21	hormones, nor do I know of any experts who have.			
22	${f Q}$ Does that assume then can I assume then I			
23	do not have to prepare a defense for my client			
24	regarding hormones?			
25	MR. GARREN: Object to form. 04:55PM			

c	1	_
O	1	O

	r		010		
1	A	I don't believe you do.			
2	Q	And then does that also assume that I do not			
3	have t	o prepare a defense for my client regarding			
4	nitrogen?				
5		MR. GARREN: Object to form.	04:55PM		
6	Q	Especially nitrogen impact on groundwater?			
7		MR. GARREN: Same objection.			
8	A	I don't know. I don't believe so.			
9	Q	And does that also can I also assume that I			
10	do not	have to prepare a defense for my client on	04:55PM		
11	the is	sue of antimicrobial effects?			
12		MR. GARREN: Object to form.			
13	A	Could you define antimicrobial effects?			
14	Q	I can't any better than I just said it.			
15	A	Okay. If you are talking about a defense of	04:55PM		
16	your c	client with respect to the presence of			
17	antibi	otic materials in litter			
18	Q	Yes, sir.			
19	A	I don't believe so.			
20	Q	All right. If you'd look at your report on	04:55PM		
21	Table	1, I didn't note the page.			
22	A	Table 1?			
23	Q	Yes, sir. It should be the growth in chicken			
24	production in the Illinois River watershed.				
25	A	I have that, yes, sir.	04:56PM		